

DISPATCH		CLASSIFICATION C O N F I D E N T I A L	DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NO. EGMA-56591
TO Chief, IO (Attn: ☐ INFO EE, COS/G ☐		HEADQUARTERS FILE NO. 200-124-39/3 FIELD FILE: RLS/559	
FROM Chief, Munich Operations Group		DATE 27 October 1961	
SUBJECT CAMOG/DTDORIC/QKACTIVE/Operations Transmittal of PBCHORD Papers		RE: "43-3" - (CHECK "X" ONE) ☐ MARKED FOR INDEXING ☒ NO INDEXING REQUIRED	
ACTION REQUIRED For your information		INDEXING CAN BE JUDGED BY QUALIFIED HQ. DESK ONLY	
REFERENCE(S) Forwarded under separate cover are several papers which ☐ ☐ believes may be of interest to Headquarters. ☐ ☐ Attachments: (UNDER SEPARATE COVER) Miscellaneous papers Distribution: ✓ 2 - IO w/atts 1 - EE w/o atts 2 - COS/G w/o atts DOC FORWARDED W/O S/C BY RID/AN 6 NOV 1961 DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY SOURCE METHOD EXEMPTION 382 NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT DATE 2007 CS COPY 200-124-39/3			
FORM 10-57 53b (40)	USE PREVIOUS EDITION. REPLACES FORMS 51-28, 51-28A AND 51-29 WHICH ARE OBSOLETE.	CLASSIFICATION C O N F I D E N T I A L	☐ CONTINUED PAGE NO.

HQ COPY

HQ COPY

DISPATCH

CONFIDENTIAL

ECMA-15512

Chief, IC (Attn: [])
COE, COB/C

TO: []

200-124-39/3

FIELD FILE: HLB/559

FROM:

Chief, Manish Operations Group

DATE:

27 October 1961

GAMCO/DIA/OMC/OMAC/Operations
Transmittal of PDC/MD Papers

RE:

RE: []

XX

RE: []

For your information

INFORMS CAN BE []
BY CHALFED NO. []

REFERENCE:

Forwarded under separate cover are several papers which []
believes may be of interest to Headquarters.

[]

Attachments: (UNDER SEPARATE COVER)
Miscellaneous papers

Distribution:
2 - IO w/atts
1 - EE w/o atts
2 - COB/C w/o atts

FORM 100-100
100-100
100-100

CONFIDENTIAL

Continued

September 20, 1961. *gml*

Minutes of discussions with Mrs. Dupuy
(Mr. Bertrandias)

Mrs. Dupuy and Mr. Bertrandias discussed at some length the problems of adequate content reporting by Munich to New York. The problems faced by Munich in this regard, particularly in times of crises and fast-breaking news were spelled out by Mr. Bertrandias and ways and means were considered whereby the headquarters need could be satisfied with minimum strain at the Munich end. It was felt that RLD Munich's current daily reports from the News Department, Russian Desk and Research Department conveyed a good picture of what Moscow was or was not saying and what RLD was doing about it. It was agreed that the Research Department Daily USSR Summary will continue to include at the beginning a short run-down on omissions and distortions. It was also thought that if the reporting elements could continue as they are now on a completely up-to-date basis, and if the weekly program analysis report, which is being developed by Mr. Van Der Hoeer and Mrs. Dupuy, can be developed to the satisfaction of all, some consideration should be given to abolishing RLD's contribution to the weekly airgram report. It was recognized that the weekly airgram report by and large represents duplication of what has already been reported. It merely appears in a more generalized form under a breakdown in categories. (It should be noted that all other Committee elements reporting in the weekly airgram do not submit daily reports, as does RLD.)

Initial efforts to produce a weekly program analysis report for the Russian Desk looked promising. It was recommended, however, that all portions of the daily program be included in the analysis with the exception of the news itself. This, then, would mean that all segments including the daily press round-up, weekly news review, Panorama, analysis, commentary, etc., would be regarded as broadcast features and therefore reflected in the statistical breakdown and accompanying comment. It was agreed that upon her return, Mrs. Dupuy would undertake to produce these weekly program analysis reports from the reporting material available to her. If this procedure proved profitable, NYB would then assume responsibility for production of such reports.

With consideration for Mr. Sargeant's daily interest in RL's output, Mr. Bertrandias suggested that NYB experiment with a brief (possibly a single page) summary of Moscow's line and RL achievement.

It was generally agreed that RLD very clearly required a more effective reports capability and that this should be considered a high priority as soon as budgetary conditions permit.